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March was Colorado’s warmest ever recorded, Denver’s third warmest
May 3, 2017 CBRFC Forecast April – July runoff at 123%

Past Forecasts:
3/1    = 145%
3/15 = 138%
4/1   = 130%
4/18 = 123%
5/03 = 123%
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Key Points:

- Record Colorado River flow reductions averaged 19.3% per year during 2000–2014. One-third or more of the decline was likely due to warming
- Unabated greenhouse gas emissions will lead to continued substantial warming, translating to twenty-first century flow reductions of 35% or more
- More precipitation can reduce the flow loss, but lack of increase to date and large megadrought threat, reinforce risk of large flow loss
An interdisciplinary team reconciled the future of the Colorado River

Warming alone will drive Colorado River flow declines of -6.5% +/- 3.5% per °C

Vano et al., 2014

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, January 2014 issue
Contents of the Two Largest Reservoirs in the United States

2000 = Full
2015 = ~ 40%

Most Serious Drought since records kept

Causes...
Lake Powell: Drought
Lake Mead: Structural Deficit (“overuse”)
Two Droughts – Two Different Causes

1953-1967 Drought
  - 18% Flow Decline
  - 6.1% Precipitation

2000-2014 Drought
  - 19% Flow Reduction
  - 4.6% Precipitation

Note:
2000s Drought is only 75% of the Precipitation Decline in the 1950s Drought

Source: Udall & Overpeck, 2017; flow data from Reclamation, PRISM Precipitation
Temperatures Key to 2000s Decline

2000-14 Temperatures are 1.6°F above 1906-99 Average

Temperature Sensitivity Explains 1/6 to ½ of the current runoff reduction. 1/3 is mid point of 1/6 and 1/2

Source: Udall & Overpeck 2017, PRISM Temperatures
How is atmospheric warming vaporizing our snow & water?

Or...

Where is the water from the Colorado River going?

The warming atmosphere demands more moisture.
How is atmospheric warming vaporizing our snow & water?

Where is the water from the Colorado River going?

- Sublimation from snow
- Evaporation of surface water
- Evapotranspiration from plants
- Longer growing season for vegetation
- More rain and less snow
- Rain melts snow
- Positive feedbacks amplify these impacts
The Upper Colorado River Basin is Megadrought Country – 1200 years of Colorado River flow thanks to tree rings

Meko et al., (Geophysical Research Letters, 2007)
Unprecedented 21st century drought risk in the American Southwest and Central Plains

Benjamin I. Cook,¹,²* Toby R. Ault,³ Jason E. Smerdon²

In both Central Plains and Southwest, Multi-decadal Drought Risk* exceeds 80% in 21st Century

* Defined as Drought lasting 35 or more years
The Complete Picture...

You have to invoke higher temperatures to explain the current drought.

AND....

This does not bode well for the future...

Source: Udall & Overpeck 2017
Colorado River Future Flow Losses

Climate Change a combination of ...

1. For-Sure Temperature Rise -> Flow Losses

2. Not-Sure Precipitation Change -> Flow Gains or Losses
Key Points:

- Record Colorado River flow reductions averaged 19.3% per year during 2000–2014. One-third or more of the decline was likely due to warming.
- Unabated greenhouse gas emissions will lead to continued substantial warming, translating to twenty-first century flow reductions of 35% or more.
- More precipitation can reduce the flow loss, but lack of increase to date and large megadrought threat, reinforce risk of large flow loss.
Calculated Temperature Sensitivity and Precipitation Elasticity with 6 different runoff models

Temperature Sensitivity: Change in Flow per Degree Increase in Temperature. Is a Negative Percent

Precipitation Elasticity: Percent Change in Flow per 1% Change in Precipitation. Is a unit-less number

Temperature Sensitivity and Precipitation Elasticity are roughly additive
Colorado snowpack is off to its worst start in more than 30 years; ski areas feel the pinch

The Colorado snowpack is off to its worst start in more than 30 years, said Brian Domonkos, who supervises the U.S. Department of Agriculture snow survey in the state.

Snowpack boon for Powell

By Todd Glasenapp Sun Correspondent

Heavy snowfall on Colorado’s Western Slope and Utah’s Wasatch Range in December and January boosted snowpack in the five-state Upper Colorado River Basin to 157 percent of average.
Key Additional Points

Our results are generally comparable to Reclamation’s most recent results when considering the full range of our analysis when both precipitation and temperatures are included. However, our focus and emphasis is on the large near-certain temperature-induced flow declines with a separate analysis of precipitation. Reclamation, by contrast, has a focused on climate multimodel-ensemble median declines, including medians calculated across emission scenarios [Reclamation, 2013, 2012]. Decision makers often treat these median outcomes as a proxy for risk despite the fact that the median obscures the wide range of results and lumps wet and dry, warm and hot, large and small emission increases and, most critically, near certain temperature increases and very uncertain precipitation changes.

We assert that the large precipitation increases necessary to offset substantial temperature-induced flow decreases appear unlikely to occur for a number of reasons. These reasons include the potential for storm tracks to go north of the basin due to Hadley Cell expansion, the high potential for meiadrought to increase evaporation while reducing precipitation and runoff for extended periods, the large size of the needed precipitation increases, especially when compared to decadal historical increases, the consistent identification by global assessments of the Southwest as an area likely to dry, and finally the lack of any trend over the last century or last 16 years (Figure 2c). Hence, we choose to focus on highly likely temperature-induced declines with separate analysis of the precipitation needed to offset these declines.
Water Quality Implications

• Warmer Water
  – Stress on Aquatics

• Ripple Effects
  – ESA Issues
  – Fires
  – Legal Winners and Losers
May 2015 was the country’s wettest May since records began 121 years ago.

In fact, it was the wettest month ever recorded!
Drought Contingency Proposal

Potential Shortage Sharing and Protection Actions, by Lake Mead Elevation and State/Country

Current
- Current
- Proposed
  - AZ '07
  - NV '07
  - Proposed
  - AZ DCP
  - NV DCP
  - US DCP
  - CA DCP
  - MX 32x

Lake Mead Elevation

Shortage Reductions (x 1,000 AF)
“The improved hydrology has changed the landscape and given us a reprieve,” said Suzanne Ticknor, CAP’s water-policy director.

Other water users disagree with this position, including the Arizona Department of Water Resources (DWR), the Tucson and Phoenix water utilities and the Gila River Indian Community, which controls the largest share of CAP water.
The federal government plans to release an above-average amount of Colorado River water into Lake Mead this year, but it’s less than many hoped after a healthy snow season across much of the West.

The Bureau of Reclamation, which manages dams and reservoirs on the Colorado River, said Monday that it will release 9 million acre-feet (enough water to cover an acre of land one foot deep) from Lake Powell, sending it down the Colorado to Lake Mead, where it will be tapped by Arizona, California and Nevada.

Last month, the agency projected it could release 11.1 million acre-feet from Lake Powell, but a dry early March reduced the amount of snow in the mountains that feed the river.
Upper Rio Grande Basin Time Series Snowpack Summary

Based on Provisional SNOTEL data as of Apr 24, 2017

Current as Pct of Normal: 94%
Current as Pct of Avg: 97%
Current as Pct of Last Year: 121%
Current as Pct of Peak: 86%
Normal as Pct of Peak: 91%
Current Peak as Pct of Normal Peak: 121%
Current Peak Date: Apr 06
Normal Peak Date: Apr 10

[Graph showing snowpack levels over time for different years, with key dates and percentages indicated.]
Unprecedented 21st century drought risk in the American Southwest and Central Plains
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CMIP5 Drought Projections (RCP 8.5, 2050-2099 CE)

Palmer drought severity index
Soil moisture (SM-30cm)
Soil moisture (SM-2m)

A Mega-Drought Is Coming to America’s Southwest

Unless carbon emissions plummet soon, the risk of a region-altering disaster in Arizona and New Mexico will exceed 99 percent.

ROBINSON MEYER | OCT 11, 2016 | SCIENCE

CLIMATOLOGY

Relative impacts of mitigation, temperature, and precipitation on 21st-century megadrought risk in the American Southwest

Toby R. Ault,¹  Justin S. Mankin,²  Benjamin I. Cook,²  Jason E. Smerdon³

April 18, 2017 CBRFC Forecast April – July runoff at 123%

Past Forecasts: 4/1=130%, 3/15= 138%, 3/1= 145%, 2/15= 137%, 2/1= 134%
2F Warming since 1900
Snowpack Reductions and Changes in Runoff Timing Already Present
Most Severe Drought since records kept
Powell and Mead at 50% of capacity now, full 2000
Tree Mortality Rates High
Increase in Wildfire Frequency
Drought may be natural, but exacerbated by higher temperatures
Snowpack Reductions and Runoff Timing attributed to climate change
Continued drying likely as temperatures increase and storm tracks shift
Megadroughts independent of climate change a possibility with severe consequences if combined with warming
California Winter 2014-2015 Drought

- Winter Temperatures
  - Sierra Winter Above 32 F,
  - (1st time >32F in 120 years)

- Sierra Precipitation
  - Rain, not Snow
  - Not the driest!
  - (40% to 90% of normal)

- Snowpack
  - Lowest Ever - 5% on April 1
  - (1977 at 25%)
  - 500-Year (?) Return Period

- Drought
  - Worst in 1200 (?) Years

- Water Deliveries
  - Record Low to CVP Contractors

5' Snow normally
Running dry: The U.S. Southwest's drift into a drier climate state

Weather Patterns that provide winter precipitation are becoming less frequent due to Hadley Cell Expansion. Southwest Precipitation has declined by 25%.

Prein et al, 2016
Anthropogenic warming has increased drought risk in California
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• No change in precipitation over last few decades

• But the occurrence of drought has increased in last two decades over previous century

• The probability that precipitation deficits occur with warm temperatures has increased
Texas Floods
April 15-19, 2016

Louisiana Floods
August 8-14, 2016